

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/000,485	12/04/2001	Osamu Tsujii	35.G2950 9623		
••••	7590 04/18/2007 CELLA HARPER & S	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFEI	LLER PLAZA	HAMZA, FARUK			
NEW YORK, I	NY 10112	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2155		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	04/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/000,485	5	TSUJII ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Faruk Ham	za	2155				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. ory period will apply and will I, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION it, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status					•			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>05 February 200</i>	7 .	·				
' —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 31</u> are subject to res	striction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internationa	,	* **					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action to	for a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ea.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/000,485

Art Unit: 2155

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1 (An image processing system comprising interrupt information storage unit; Fig. 19 and P [0194-0201]); Species 2 (An image processing system comprising analysis unit; Figure 21 and P [0219-0224]); Species 3 (An image processing system comprising output unit; Figure 22, and P [000227-0231]).

Related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed are not connected in at least one of design, operation, or effect (e.g., can be made by, or used in, a materially different process) and wherein at least one invention is patentable (novel and non-obvious) over the other (though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art). See MPEP § 802.01(II).

In the instant case, the Species 1, 2 and 3 are not connected in at least mode of operation, which is clearly evidenced by Figs. 19,21 and 22 respectively, and relevant parts of the disclosure describing these figures.

The Examiner has determined that the additional attributes present in Species 2 and 3 would not be an obvious variation of attributes present in Species 1 to one of ordinary skills in the art. Therefore, Species 1 are patentably distinct from Species 2 and 3, though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art.

The Examiner has determined that the Species 1-3 differ in mode of operation from one another in ways that would not be obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/000,485

Art Unit: 2155

skills in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, Species 1-3 are patentably distinct from one another, though they may each be unpatentable over the prior art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to the applicant's representative (Ms. Jennifer Reda, Reg. No. 57,840) on April 13th, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH, or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this communication, whichever is longer, within which to respond to this election/restriction requirement in order to avoid abandonment (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faruk Hamza whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2155

571-272-7969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll –free).

Faruk Hamza

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unite 2155

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED